



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/809,015

03/25/2004

Tommy Constantine

4089-A3C

7839

45848

7590

07/07/2008

MICHAEL WINFIELD GOLTRY

4000 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 1220

PHOENIX, AZ 85012

EXAMINER

ST CYR, DANIEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2876

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/07/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/809,015	Applicant(s) CONSTANTINE, TOMMY	
	Examiner Daniel St.Cyr	Art Unit 2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/02/08.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/02/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yan et al. (US 2002/0152116; hereinafter "Yan").

Re claim 21 :Yan teaches a method comprising steps of: providing an authorized user of a credit card issued by a service provider ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card" paragraph 31, lines 1-3); the authorized user incurring debt on the credit card ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card, who incurs debts on or with the card..." paragraph 31, lines 1-6); and for a predetermined amount of debt incurred by the authorized user on the credit card, the service provider submitting an entry into a sweepstakes on behalf of the authorized

user ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card, who incurs debts on or with the card, with an award (i. e. dynamically generated rebate, fixed rebate) that itself represents an opportunity, on the basis of the debts incurred with the credit card ... The second mode comprises awarding a deep sweepstake rebate wherein a transaction or an account is dynamically selected for a fixed discount percent as designated by the sponsoring card issuer..." paragraphs 31 and 30-32). **The sweepstakes comprise a contest in which a discount is provided on future transactions (this is considered unrelated to debt incurred) (see par. 0040). Furthermore, the structure of Yan is capable performing the method steps of providing prizes unrelated to debt incurred by entering authorized users into plurality of sweepstakes. The prior art anticipates the claim.**

Re claim 22: Yan teaches the method further comprising conducting a drawing from entries of the sweepstakes, wherein the entry of the authorized user is one of the entries (see paragraph 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan in view of Shurling et al. (US 6,009,415; hereinafter "Shurling"). The teachings of Yan have been discussed above.

Yan fails to teach the steps of providing an authorized user of a credit card issued by a service provider; the authorized user referring a first/new customer to the service provider for credit card services; the first/new customer submitting/enrolling an application for credit card services to the service provider; the service provider receiving, processing and approving the application and issuing a credit card to the first customer establishing a first referred authorized user of a credit card; and in consideration therefore to the authorized user the service provider issuing valuable consideration to the authorized user.

Shurling teaches a method comprising steps of: providing an authorized user (24 in fig. 1) of a credit card issued by a service provider (col. 1, lines 6-27; col. 4, lines 20-42); the authorized user referring a first/new customer to the service provider for credit card services (col. 1, lines 6-27; col. 4, lines 20-42); the first/new customer submitting/enrolling an application for credit card services to the service provider (col. 7, lines 48-65); the service provider receiving, processing and approving the application and issuing a credit card to the first customer establishing a first referred authorized user of a credit card; and in consideration therefore to the authorized user the service provider issuing valuable consideration (i.e., Incentive Rewards) to the authorized user (abstract; col. 2, line 46 through col. 3, line 11; col. 4, lines 6-20).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the steps of referring new customer to the service provider as taught by Shurling to the teachings of Yan in order to apply/grant the sweepstake incentives (i.e., entering sweepstake entry) to the referring customer encouraging customer to refer new customer(s) to increase the chance to win.

6. Claims 24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan as modified by Shurling as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Selgas et al. (US 6,571,290; hereinafter "Selgas"). The teachings of Yan as modified by Shurling have been discussed above.

Although, Shurling teaches the step of the first/new customer submitting an application for credit card services to the service provider (col. 7, lines 48-65); and other customers which a specific customer may refer to the bank (col. 11, lines 41-45); Yan as modified by Shurling fails to teach or fairly suggest the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party. Selgas teaches the step of the user 110 enters registration information about the user 110 and Referral Information if available (col. 15, lines 58-65), which serves as the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party.

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party as taught by Selgas to the teachings of Yan as modified by Shurling in order to provide a useful option for the new user to designate the referring party. Such modification would have been an obvious expedient to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide a way for new use to designate the referring party.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 05/02/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (see examiner remarks).

REMARKS:

In response to applicant's concern with regard to the amendment of claim 21, the amendment has been addressed above.

Note

8. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/809,015
Art Unit: 2876

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DS
July 3, 2008
/Daniel St.Cyr/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876